Carrying Out Noise Assessments For Proposed Childcare Centres

Ken Scannell MSc MAAS MIOA and Matthew Harwood AAAS
Noise and Sound Services, St Ives, Sydney

A paper previously presented at the 2006 NZAS/AAS 2006 societies conference "Noise of Progress"

Abstract

According to data given by the Australian Council of Trade Unions there are about 1.5 million Australian children under the age of five. Every year 250,000 new babies are born and by the time a child is in his or her second year, 57% of mothers are back in the workforce and by the time children turn three, 68% of mothers are back in the workforce. Many new childcare facilities have recently been constructed in the built environment in many parts of Australia and New Zealand to cater for this growing demand. The proposed location of childcare facilities is highly important because of the noise impact they will have on the surrounding neighbours. However, in many cases childcare facilities are being proposed in normal residential roads with as many as five neighbouring residential properties at their boundaries. Often childcare proprietors would like to accommodate as many children as practicable. This can be as many as 50 to 60, or even 90 children in the age group 2 to 5.

Introduction

There is a growing social need for more childcare places in Australia and New Zealand. As a result of this need, many private dwellings are being converted into commercial childcare centres. Many of these dwellings are on normal suburban or rural roads. The amount of noise generated from the childcare centre is one of the understandable and most tangible, causes of concern from the occupants of neighbouring premises.

The sound of children at play in the outdoor play areas is difficult to mitigate without having relatively large distances to the neighbouring boundaries or relatively high acoustic fences. Therefore it is important to establish what level of sound (noise) is deemed to be acceptable. Unlike many machine noises such as air conditioners or exhaust fans, the sound of children at play in the outdoor play areas is highly variable. Therefore, there is a need to establish some form of statistical breakdown for sound levels of typical children at play for the prediction of noise at proposed childcare centres.

Children and staff in the outdoor play areas are not, of course, the only potential noise source. Other noise sources are on-site vehicles, increases in on-road traffic when caregivers drop off and collect children as well as noise from air conditioning plant and toilet and kitchen exhaust fans. Noise from indoor play areas also needs to be considered. Here the reduction in reverberant noise levels with good internal acoustical design can not only help reduce the noise emissions to neighbouring premises but also enhance speech intelligibility and create an improved learning and playing acoustic environment.

Site selection

The selection of a site is very important to the success of acoustical aspects of the development application. The ideal location for a childcare centre is one where there are large amounts of open space on all sides of the proposed outdoor play area. This is not always practical and some compromise is required. A site with one or more boundaries facing bushland, a reserve, a public school or a commercial area has an improved chance of meeting noise goals. Areas that have medium to high background noise levels (without being too high to affect the children's hearing or communications) such as sites relatively close to busy roads also provide an improved chance of meeting noise goals where the noise goals are based on existing background noise levels.

From an acoustical point of view, the worst-case scenario for a childcare centre is therefore one situated on a small plot where there are five neighbouring boundaries, a very quiet residential street and the requirement for a large number of children.

Outdoor Play Area Source Models

The sound produced from children at play varies significantly at different times. Nevertheless a model based on the realistic worst-case (or at least an upper percentile) noise level is required to be established to assess the impact on neighbouring premises. The noise levels when the children are quiet are not relevant. Annoyance is only likely and the neighbours will only complain when the sound level from the children at play is raised. Aspects of the noise source model include, the number of children in an area, the number of children that are likely to be vocal in that area, the type of voice (i.e. casual, normal, loud, etc) and the times and distances between source and receiver.

General assumptions are that the boundaries of the proposed outdoor play area will be at least 2 metres from the neighbouring boundaries due to

metres from the boundaries of the nearest affected residences with an average distance of 5 metres. The maximum numbers of children in the proposed outdoor play area(s) at any given time, not including babies or very young children (i.e. 2 years of age or under), are normally 20 to 40 and occasionally as many as 70.

Noise models have been developed for the calculation of child sound levels from children at play.

This is based on sound pressure level data for one child at 1 metre as given by Kryter (1985). This model covers various types of voice shown in column 1 of Table 1 below.

The estimated time of each type of voice is used to predict a 15-minute average for one child.

Attenuation is then applied for a distance of 5 metres and an adjustment is made for the amount of children vocal at any one time. This is typically 20% to 35% of the number of children at a centre. Hence, for the rear play area for, for example, 35 children (aged 2 to 5 years) and for a typical worst-case scenario, a maximum of 12 children could be expected to be vocal at any one time, in any one area. Site-specific distance attenuations are then applied as shown in Table 1 below.

Table 1. An example of the predicted noise levels for children at play

Type of Voice	Sound Pressure Level (dBA) at 1 metre	Estimated Time Spent at each type of voice (minutes in 15)	Resultant Sound Level (dBA) 15 minute average
Casual	53	2.8	46
Normal	58	5	53
Raised	65	5	60
Loud	74	2	65
Shout	82	0.2	63
15 minute Average for 1 Child at 1 metre			68
15 minute Average for 12 Children at 1metre Average Distance (From 68 + 10 $\log_{10}$ (12) dB)			79
15 minute Average for 12 Children at 5 metres (From 79 - 20 $\log_{10}$ (5/1) dB)			65

This model was tested and verified with acoustical measurements taken at the Shore Preparatory School, Northbridge, NSW, on Monday 10 November 2003. At 8 metres a sound pressure level of 60 dBA was found to be the highest 15-minute noise level when 30 children first entered the play area. After the children settled, the noise level dropped by 3 to 5 dB. It was noted that the sand pit was the area where the children played the quietest.

Indoor Play Area Noise Source Models

The external noise level (L_{p2}) from the inside play area to outside can be calculated from the 'Lord/ Templeton (1996)' formula:-

$L_{p2} = L_{p1} - R_w + 10 \text{ Log}_{10} S - 20\text{Log}_{10}r - 17 + DI$ dBA

Where:

L_{p1} is the internal sound pressure level;

R_w is the weighted sound reduction index of the building partition;

S is the area of the partition (m^2);

r is the distance between the receiver and the partition (m);

DI is the directivity index of the façade;

The constant 17 becomes 14 for a hemispheric sound source.

The indoor noise level of play areas is dependent upon the room acoustics, in particular the amount of acoustically absorptive materials used as opposed to 'hard' reflective surfaces.

From our measurements, we found that childcare centre reverberant noise levels (L_{p1}) can be as high as 80 dBA within rooms with all 'hard' surfaces.

Treatment such as fitting acoustic absorbent ceilings will also help in reducing the indoor reverberant noise level and creating a good internal acoustic environment.

The weakest acoustical link is usually through windows or glazed doors. In many cases, depending upon the distance to the neighbouring boundary, the proposed playroom area glazing should be at least 6.38 mm thick laminated with well-sealed, heavy-duty frames, giving a weighted sound reduction index of at least 32 dB. The predicted indoor sound of children at play will then meet the noise goal at the neighbouring boundary (using the formula given above). The indoor noise level ($L_{Aeq,\ 12\ hour}$) from external noise sources (road or rail traffic) can be designed to be less that 45 dBA.

On-Road Traffic Noise

The predictions of noise levels from road traffic using the proposed facilities can be calculated using standard formula as given in, for example, the Calculation of Road Traffic Noise from the UK Department of Transport and Welsh Office (1988).

Children often arrive at childcare centres sporadically throughout the morning, with the majority arriving between 7:30 and 9:00 hours. In the afternoon, the majority of children are expected to depart by 15:30 hours each day. The remaining children then depart gradually between 15:30 and 18:00 hours.

In most cases, it can be assumed as a realistic worst-case scenario that three quarters of the children arrive or leave in individual cars in any one-hour period from both directions along the access road. Staff parking or deliveries are normally restricted outside of 18:00 to 07:00 hours.

An example of the predicted noise level for 34 vehicles at an estimated distance of 10 metres set back from local residential boundaries is shown in Table 2 below.

Maximum Predicted Vehicles per Hour using the Proposed Facilities	Road Traffic Noise Level at Nearest Residences ($L_{Aeq,\ 1\ hour}$)
34	53 dBA

Table 2 – Predicted road traffic noise levels at the nearest residential receivers

The expected road traffic using the proposed development will, in this example, meet the road traffic noise criterion.

Note: The calculation procedure given in CoRTN is untested for small traffic flows (i.e. under 50 vehicles per hour); however, tests have shown good compliance with vehicles down to 30 per hour.

On-Site Vehicle Noise

To minimise adverse noise impacts of cars starting, car doors closing and parents and children arriving and leaving proposed Childcare Centre premises, some mitigation is usually required.

A 1.8-metre high solid fence constructed at the boundaries between the car parking areas and the neighbouring properties on each side of the proposed development will normally be sufficient to minimise these noise impacts.

Upper floors of two-storey dwellings are normally not considered to be in used in daytime hours.

Air Conditioning and Mechanical Plant Noise

Any new or future air conditioning, exhaust fans or other mechanical plant must produce a noise level ($L_{Aeq,\ 15\ minute}$) of not more than 5 dB over the existing background level in line with the guidelines given in the Industrial Noise Policy. However, it is common at the development application stage to have a situation where the type of air conditioning and even the location of the air conditioning are unknown. In these cases, it is preferred to calculate the maximum acceptable total sound power level (L_{WA}) of the mechanical plant using formulae as given, for example, in the Noise Guide for Local Government (2004), Appendix 5.

Mitigation Measures

Mitigation methods can be recommended to minimise adverse noise impacts from the childcare centre proposed on the residents of neighbouring properties. A site location with a large distance between the proposal and the neighbouring properties helps to keep mitigation measures to a minimum.

Outdoor play areas, which border the neighbouring premises, will normally require an acoustic fence greater than the standard 1.8 metre height. Such a fence could be constructed of, for example, lapped and capped timber, lightweight block or 'Colorbond' steel around the outdoor play area borders.

The barrier effect of the fences has been calculated in accordance with the International Standard ISO 9613-2 1996(E) and takes the high frequency content of the sound of children into account. The density of the fence material is not critical as the children voices are relatively high frequency and the height of the fence is normally the limiting factor. These fences must however be constructed without holes or gaps including beneath the fence.

In addition, it is recommended that the time children spend in the outside play areas be limited to 1.5 or 2 hours per half day (i.e. morning and afternoon) if the 10 dB over the existing background noise level

criterion is to be used. Longer out door play times may require the criterion reduced to 5 dB over the existing background noise level. Other noise mitigation measures include supervising children fully at all times by staff dealing with and rectifying all screaming; crying, etc as quickly as possible.

Amplified music or any form of musical instruments should be avoided wherever practicable. Where it is used, it must be set at a low level. If complaints are received, the use of any musical instruments should cease.

Glazing of proposed indoor play areas may require upgrading to thick laminated with well-sealed, heavy-duty frames, giving a weighted sound reduction index based on standard calculation procedures.

To mitigate potential noise complaints from air conditioner condenser units, fans or other mechanical plant, the plant must not exceed a calculated total sound power level (LWA). In addition they must not be in operation at night time (10:00 pm to 07:00 am weekdays or 10:00 pm to 08:00 am on Saturday, Sunday or public holidays).

When assessing any mitigation measures, consideration may need to be given to the regulatory requirements made under the Children's Services Regulation 2004.

Conclusions

Noise level design goals at neighbouring boundaries need to be determined for a proposed childcare centre. The noise level design goals will depend upon the type of neighbour (i.e. residential, commercial or industrial) and the distance between the source of the noise (i.e. the outdoor play areas, the indoor play areas, car park areas and mechanical plant) and the neighbouring boundary.

The number of children, the times they spend in the outdoor play areas per day and the existing background noise level will all influence potential noise impacts.

The noise goals can usually be met at the existing residential, commercial or industrial properties with acoustic fences fitted around the outdoor play area boundaries. The fence height should be calculated in accordance with the International Standard ISO 9613-2 1996(E) and materials such as lapped and capped timber or 'Colorbond' fences will normally be suitable.

A 1.8-metre fence along the neighbouring boundaries of the car park area will normally be sufficient to minimise on-site vehicle noise impacts

The expected on road traffic using the proposed childcare development can be calculated. The road traffic noise criterion can often be met without further mitigation.

Any proposed air conditioner condenser units, fans or other mechanical plant must be specified by giving the supplier's a maximum total sound power level (L_{WA}).

References

Australian Council of Trade Unions (2003). A Fair Australia: Child Care Policy Congress, Melbourne. www.actu.asn.au/congress2003

Children's Services Regulation 2004
www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/fullhtml/inforce/subordleg+260+2004+FIRST+0+N

Department of Transport and the Welsh Office (1988). Calculation of Road Traffic Noise. HMSO, London

Department of Environment and Conservation (NSW) Noise Guide for Local Government (2004) DEC 2004/59, NSW. www.environment.nsw.gov.au/noise/nglg.htm

EPA/NSW Environmental Criteria for Road Traffic Noise (1999) EPA 99/3. www.environment.nsw.gov.au/resources/roadnoise.pdf

Holroyd Council (2001). Development Control Plan No. 27 Guideline for the Development of Child Care Centres, NSW. www.holroyd.nsw.gov.au/html/eps/planning/dcp27.pdf

International Standard ISO 9613-2 Acoustics - Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors - Part 2: General method of calculation. www.saiglobal.com/shop.

Kryter, K. D. (1985) The Effects of Noise on Man, Second Edition, Academic Press Inc, Orlando, Florida. www.books.elsevier.com.

Lawlink (2005) NSW Land and Environment Court, Caselaw Proceedings Number 10002 of 2005) www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/caselaw

Lawlink (2005) NSW Land and Environment Court, Caselaw Proceedings Number 10615 of 2004 www.lawlink.nsw.gov.au/lawlink/caselaw

Lord, P., Templeton, D., Third Edition (1996) Detailing for Acoustics, E & F Spon, London.

New South Wales Industrial Noise Policy (2000) EPA 00/1, NSW. www.environment.nsw.gov.au/noise/industrial

Protection of the Environment Operations Act (1997) No. 156 www.legislation.nsw.gov.au

Protection of the Environment Operations (Noise Control) Regulation (2000). www.legislation.nsw.gov.au

Acknowledgement.

The authors thank the two anonymous referees for constructive suggestions incorporated in this paper prior to its acceptance for the 2006 conference.

Dedication

This paper is dedicated to the memory of **Andrew Wearne** BE (Hons) MIEAust CPEng MAAS, former Director and Design Control Manager, Heggies Australia. ❑